but it is brought on pursuant to § 1983, on a form Civil Rights Complaint Pursuant to 42 U.S. §

25

26

1983, all of which is contrary to law.

1	Fourth, the Amended Complaint, which was filed March 2, 2007, claims the sexual
2	harassment occurred in March 2004. The statute of limitations for Section 1983 actions is
3	determined by state law. City of Santa Rosa, 49 F.3d 583, 585 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing Harding v.
4	Galceran, 889 F.2d 906, 907 (9th Cir. 1989), cert. denied 598 U.S. 1082 (1991). Her Amended
5	Complaint also violates that statute of limitations and could have been dismissed on that basis as
6	well.
7	Appellant's claims are obscure and untimely. Any appeal from the dismissal would
8	be frivolous.
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Appellant's Request for issuance of
10	Certificate of Appealability is DENIED.
11	Dated: April 3, 2008.
12	\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim
13	Roger L. Hamil
14	Roger L. Hunt / Chief United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	